



## State by State Breakdown of Dedicated Wildlife Funding

The Recovering America's Wildlife Act will dedicate \$1.3 billion annually to state led wildlife conservation efforts. This would be the most significant investment in wildlife conservation in a generation

<i>State</i>	<b>Recovering America's Wildlife Act</b>	<b>*STWG Allocation (FY 2019)</b>	<b>Increase in funding</b>	<b>25% Match (estimated)</b>
<i>Alabama</i>	\$17,581,930	\$791,462	\$16,790,468	\$5,860,643
<i>Alaska</i>	\$57,417,750	\$2,574,670	\$54,843,080	\$19,139,250
<i>American Samoa</i>	\$2,870,888	\$128,733	\$2,742,155	\$956,963
<i>Arizona</i>	\$31,152,056	\$1,296,207	\$29,855,849	\$10,384,019
<i>Arkansas</i>	\$14,411,810	\$597,556	\$13,814,254	\$4,803,937
<i>California</i>	\$57,417,750	\$2,574,670	\$54,843,080	\$19,139,250
<i>Colorado</i>	\$26,964,549	\$1,096,236	\$25,868,313	\$8,988,183
<i>Connecticut</i>	\$11,483,550	\$514,934	\$10,968,616	\$3,827,850
<i>Delaware</i>	\$11,483,550	\$514,934	\$10,968,616	\$3,827,850
<i>District of Columbia</i>	\$5,741,775	\$257,467	\$5,484,308	\$1,913,925
<i>Florida</i>	\$44,504,760	\$2,345,896	\$42,158,864	\$14,834,920
<i>Georgia</i>	\$27,821,608	\$1,360,951	\$26,460,657	\$9,273,869
<i>Guam</i>	\$3,152,500	\$128,733	\$3,023,767	\$956,963
<i>Hawaii</i>	\$12,610,000	\$506,205	\$12,103,795	\$3,827,850
<i>Idaho</i>	\$17,113,653	\$612,751	\$16,500,902	\$5,704,551
<i>Illinois</i>	\$33,150,438	\$1,687,408	\$31,463,030	\$11,050,146
<i>Indiana</i>	\$18,066,727	\$893,626	\$17,173,101	\$6,022,242
<i>Iowa</i>	\$15,178,318	\$628,078	\$14,550,240	\$5,059,439
<i>Kansas</i>	\$19,253,572	\$745,043	\$18,508,529	\$6,417,857
<i>Kentucky</i>	\$14,849,266	\$683,907	\$14,165,359	\$4,949,755
<i>Louisiana</i>	\$16,458,841	\$743,918	\$15,714,923	\$5,486,280
<i>Maine</i>	\$11,483,550	\$514,934	\$10,968,616	\$3,827,850
<i>Maryland</i>	\$12,382,865	\$680,427	\$11,702,438	\$4,127,622
<i>Massachusetts</i>	\$13,430,760	\$752,596	\$12,678,164	\$4,476,920
<i>Michigan</i>	\$28,115,624	\$1,380,117	\$26,735,507	\$9,371,875
<i>Minnesota</i>	\$24,112,270	\$1,021,499	\$23,090,771	\$8,037,423
<i>Mississippi</i>	\$13,571,580	\$574,030	\$12,997,550	\$4,523,860
<i>Missouri</i>	\$22,868,456	\$1,017,789	\$21,850,667	\$7,622,819
<i>Montana</i>	\$26,864,666	\$886,700	\$25,977,966	\$8,954,889
<i>N. Mariana Islands</i>	\$2,870,888	\$128,733	\$2,742,155	\$956,963
<i>Nebraska</i>	\$16,528,900	\$607,773	\$15,921,127	\$5,509,633
<i>Nevada</i>	\$23,793,418	\$878,546	\$22,914,872	\$7,931,139

<b>State</b>	<b>Recovering America's Wildlife Act</b>	<b>*STWG Allocation (FY 2018)</b>	<b>Increase in funding</b>	<b>25% Match (estimated)</b>
<i>New Hampshire</i>	\$11,483,550	\$514,934	\$10,968,616	\$3,827,850
<i>New Jersey</i>	\$17,466,206	\$992,874	\$16,473,332	\$5,822,069
<i>New Mexico</i>	\$24,492,986	\$867,547	\$23,625,439	\$8,164,329
<i>New York</i>	\$43,938,933	\$2,357,758	\$41,581,175	\$14,646,311
<i>North Carolina</i>	\$26,476,556	\$1,311,312	\$25,165,244	\$8,825,519
<i>North Dakota</i>	\$13,278,141	\$514,934	\$12,763,207	\$4,426,047
<i>Ohio</i>	\$28,218,877	\$1,467,789	\$26,751,088	\$9,406,292
<i>Oklahoma</i>	\$18,793,673	\$776,627	\$18,017,046	\$6,264,558
<i>Oregon</i>	\$23,566,241	\$929,244	\$22,636,997	\$7,855,414
<i>Pennsylvania</i>	\$31,035,523	\$1,615,030	\$29,420,493	\$10,345,174
<i>Puerto Rico</i>	\$11,483,550	\$257,467	\$11,226,083	\$3,827,850
<i>Rhode Island</i>	\$11,483,550	\$514,934	\$10,968,616	\$3,827,850
<i>South Carolina</i>	\$13,792,728	\$665,637	\$13,127,091	\$4,597,576
<i>South Dakota</i>	\$14,631,367	\$514,934	\$14,116,433	\$4,877,122
<i>Tennessee</i>	\$18,828,739	\$910,307	\$17,918,432	\$6,276,246
<i>Texas</i>	\$57,417,750	\$2,574,670	\$54,843,080	\$19,139,250
<i>Utah</i>	\$19,535,918	\$749,270	\$18,786,648	\$6,511,973
<i>Vermont</i>	\$11,483,550	\$514,934	\$10,968,616	\$3,827,850
<i>Virgin Islands</i>	\$2,870,888	\$128,733	\$2,742,155	\$956,963
<i>Virginia</i>	\$21,632,932	\$1,082,149	\$20,550,783	\$7,210,977
<i>Washington</i>	\$23,956,983	\$1,089,257	\$22,867,726	\$7,985,661
<i>West Virginia</i>	\$11,483,550	\$514,934	\$10,968,616	\$3,827,850
<i>Wisconsin</i>	\$20,005,420	\$913,250	\$19,092,170	\$6,668,473
<i>Wyoming</i>	\$17,697,183	\$579,612	\$17,117,571	\$5,899,061

**US FWS administrative costs: \$24,050,000 (1.85%)**

**Amount allocated for competitive grant program: \$127,595,000 (10%)**

**Total amount available: \$1,300,000,000 (100%)**

\*Funds are allocated to states using a formula based on one half land area and one half population size. No state can get less than 1% or more than 5%.

\*Estimated non-federal match (25%) can include, but is not limited to in-kind contributions, private donations, general funds allocated from the state, and/or funds leveraged from private academic institutions at the discretion of the state.

\*Tribal nations would receive an additional \$97.5 million for proactive conservation measures on tribal lands and waters.

**Contact:**

**Naomi Edelson**

**Senior Director, Wildlife Partnerships**

**National Wildlife Federation**

[edelsonn@nwf.org](mailto:edelsonn@nwf.org) | 202-797-6889